



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bot 1970 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

A DDV 10	T		Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-145 www.uspto.gov	0	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	T.		
09/773,312	01/31/2001	Guarionex Morales	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
			AMDI8366US0 MCF/DBB	2747	
	590 07/17/2003				
FLIESLER DI	UBB MEYER & LOVE	IOV IID			
FLIESLER DUBB MEYER & LOVEJOY, LLP FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 400			EXAMINER		
SAN FRANCIS	CO, CA 94111		NGUYEN, HA T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2011	TALER NOMBER	
			2812		
			DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1,		A		α				
		Application No.	Applicant(s	6) 12)				
Office Action Summary		09/773,312	MORALES	ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
- The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication and	Ha T. Nguyen	2812					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the period for reply specified above is less than a lift NO period for reply is specified above, the max failure to reply within the set or extended period any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76 Status	rovisions of 37 CFR 1.13 nis communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply imum statutory period wifor reply will, by statute,	6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t Il apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considere DNTHS from the mailing date o	f this communication				
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on 27 M	av 2003						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL.								
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
4) Claim(s) 1-23,25,29,50 and 30	048 is/are pendino	in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23,25,29,50 and 3048</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement								
Application Papers		a salar roquironicing.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)								
is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign 15) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	language provisi	onal application has be	on received	nal application).				
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, under 00 0,0,0,	33 120 and/or 121.					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revier Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144) J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	w (PTO-948) 9) Paper No(s)		ummary (PTO-413) Paper Iformal Patent Application (No(s) PTO-152)				
D.S. Patient and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paner No.					

Application/Control Number: 09/773,312 Page 2 Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' Amendment and Response to the Office Action mailed 12-20-2 and request 1. for an RCE have been entered and made of record (Paper Nos. 12 and 15).

Response to Amendment

In view of Applicants' arguments and the amendment to the claims, the rejections of 2. claims 48-50 under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103, as being anticipated by Akram (U. S. Patent 5661334) or unpatentable over Liu et al. (U S Patent 6080657, hereinafter "Liu"), or Akram in combination with other applied references, as stated in Paper No. 11, have been withdrawn.

Applicants' arguments with regard to the other rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 have been fully considered, but they are not deemed to be persuasive for at least the following reasons.

Applicants argued that in all the rejection based on Kwon et al., U. S. Patent 6333260 (Hereinafter Kwon), Liu et al., US Patent 6080657 (hereinafter Liu) in view of Lu et al., U.S. Patent 6365517 (Hereinafter Lu) or their respective combination with other references does not teach a diffusion barrier of metal nitride or metal oxynitride. The examiner disagreed. Kwon discloses a layer 350 of Ti or TiN on the metal layer 310, TiN has characteristics of both a diffusion barrier and an ARC, in Kwon a layer 360 is also formed to protect the metal layer from diffusion of dopant from the doped-dielectric 400 or 405. In the rejection, the examiner considers layer 350, a metal nitride to be equivalent to the claimed single diffusion barrier. Even in the recently amended claims the use of "comprising" allow for the existence of other additional layers including another diffusion barrier or protection layer beside the indicated single diffusion barrier layer. Layer 350 is directly deposited on said metal layer 310. Therefore, Kwon does teach a metal nitride, TiN diffusion barrier as claimed. In the same manner, in Liu, layer 32 of TiN on an Al layer 30 or layer TiN 26 over a metal layer 24 are equivalent to the claimed barrier layer, the claims do not require the diffusion barrier to protect the metal layer from all directions, therefore, even though layers 32 or 26 do not protect the underlying metal layer against diffusion from all direction they still meet the requirement of the claims. Therefore, Liu in combination with other applied references do teach all the limitations of the correspondingly rejected claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,312 Page 3

Art Unit: 2812

Additional response to Applicants' arguments will be incorporated in the new ground of rejection given below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 3. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371® of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- Claims 1, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kwon et 4. al., U. S. Patent 6333260 (Hereinafter Kwon).

[Claims 1 and 21] Referring to Figs. 3-9 and related text, Kwon discloses a method of fabricating a semiconductor device, comprising the steps of: depositing on a metal layer 310, a layer 350 which can be of Ti or TiN having diffusion barrier characteristics (see col. 5, lines 56-60), and then depositing a layer of doped dielectric material 400 or 405 on said diffusion barrier. [Claim 20] wherein said doped dielectric layer is selected from the group consisting of fluorine doped silicate glass (FSG or SiOF), phosphorous doped silicate glass (PSG), boron doped silicate glass (BSG), and boron phosphorous doped silicate glass (BPSG) (see col.8, lines 9-21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 5. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,312

Art Unit: 2812

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon.

Kwon discloses substantially the limitations of claim 19, as shown above. It also discloses that the step of depositing a layer of doped dielectric material is carried out at a deposition temperature in the range of about 350 to 400C (See col. 8, lines 9-21).

But it does not disclose expressly the exact claimed range.

However, in the case where the claimed ranges "overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art" a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists (See MPEP 2144.05).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to use Kwon's teaching to obtain the invention as specified in claim 19.

7. Claims 1, 3-13, 16-18, 20-23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Lu et al., U.S. Patent 6365517 (Hereinafter Lu).

[Claims 1, 20, and 22] Referring to Figs. 3-6 and related text, Liu discloses a method of fabricating a semiconductor device, comprising the steps of: depositing on a metal layer 24, a nitrogen rich metal nitride layer 26; and depositing a layer of SOG material on said nitrogen rich metal nitride layer (see col. 3, lines 23-28);

[Claim 3] wherein said diffusion barrier is a layer of metal oxynitride (see col. 3, lines 29-42);

[Claims 4 and 5] wherein said layer of metal nitride has a thickness in the range of about 10 to about 1000 angstroms or in the range of about 50 to about 350 angstroms (see col. 3, lines 23-28);

Application/Control Number: 09/773,312

Art Unit: 2812

[Claim 21] wherein said metal nitride layer comprises a metal selected from the group consisting of aluminum, tantalum and titanium (see col. 3, lines 23-28).

But it does not disclose expressly the dielectric is a doped dielectric, a barrier layer thickness of 100 angstroms, and the details about the plasma.

However, the missing limitations are well known in the art because Lu discloses [Claim 6] wherein said layer of metal nitride has a thickness of about 100 angstroms (see col. 3, lines 14-16); [Claim 7] wherein said metal nitride is formed using a nitrogen rich radiofrequency (rf) plasma; [Claims 8-10] wherein the radiofrequency plasma is formed using hydrogen and nitrogen gases having a ratio in the range of about 0.1:1 to about 4:1, or 0.5:1 to about 2:1, or about 3:2; [Claims 11-13] wherein the rf plasma power is in the range of about 100 Watts to about 1000 Watts or about 400 Watts to about 800 Watts, or about 750 Watts per 8 inch diameter wafer; [Claims 16-18] wherein the pressure in the plasma chamber is in the range of about 100mTorr to about 50 Torr, or about 1 Torr to about 10 Torr, or about 4 Torr (see col. 2, lines 57-col. 3, lines 16). The combined teaching of Liu and Lu does not disclose the exact claimed rf power or chamber pressure. However any variation in rf power or chamber pressure in the present claims is obvious in light of the cited art, because the changes in rf power or chamber pressure produce no unexpected function. The routine varying of parameters to produce expected changes are within the ability of one of ordinary skill in the art. Patentability over the prior art will only occur if the parameter variation produces an unexpected result. In re Aller, Lacey and Hall, 105 U.S.P.Q. 233, 235. In re Reese 129 U.S.P.Q. 402, 406. Besides, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art that many doped dielectric materials, BPSG, PSG, BSG, FSG are SOG materials.

[Claims 23 and 25] The arguments used for the rejection of claims 1, 8-10, 21, and 22 also apply. Liu also discloses the steps of: providing a substrate 10; depositing over said substrate, a metal layer 24 from the group consisting of aluminum, titanium, tantalum and aluminum/tantalum. Lu also discloses a deposition temperature in the range of about 200C to about 450C (see par. bridging col. 2 and col. 3).

A person of ordinary skill is motivated to modify Liu with Lu to obtain lower cost and better quality TiN layer (see Lu, Summary of the Invention).

Application/Control Number: 09/773,312

Art Unit: 2812

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Liu with Lu to obtain the invention as specified in claims 1, 3-13, 16-18, 20-23, 25, 49, and 50.

8. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Lu, as applied to claims 1, 3-13, 16-18, 20-23, and 25 above, and further in view of Inoue, US Patent 4976839.

The combined teaching of Liu and Lu discloses substantially the limitations of claims 14 and 15.

But it does not disclose expressly the presence of a noble gas selected from the group consisting of helium, neon, argon, krypton and xenon in the plasma.

However, the missing limitations are well known in the art because Inoue discloses the use of Argon in the reactant gases forming TiN (See col. 6, lines 32-49).

A person of ordinary skill is motivated to modify Liu and Lu with Inoue to obtain smooth and better controlled fabrication process of the TiN.

9. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon in view of Applicants' admitted prior art (hereinafter APA).

Kwon discloses substantially the limitations of claims 29 and 30, as shown above.

But it does not disclose expressly that TiN barrier layer is formed using electromagnetic radiation or nitrogen ion implantation .

However, the missing limitations are well known in the art because APA discloses these features (see par. 0078).

It is within the level of skill of a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a conventional method to perform the same.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Kwon with APA to obtain the invention as specified in claims 29 and 30.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,312 Page 7

Art Unit: 2812

10. Claims 48-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Geffken et al. (U. S. Patent 5985762, hereinafter "Geffken") in view of Akram.

Referring to Figs. 1-3E and related text, Geffken discloses a method of reducing diffusion of dopant ions from a doped dielectric layer into a metal layer 3 or 21 of a device stack having a metal layer, comprising the steps of: forming a trench in said device stack, said trench having at least one sidewall; depositing on at least one sidewall a metal layer, a diffusion barrier 28 or 29, said diffusion barrier being comprised of a layer of tantalum nitride or titanium nitride (see col. 2, lines 38-47 and col. 3, lines 25-53).

But it does not disclose expressly depositing a layer of doped dielectric material on said diffusion barrier within said trench and that the barrier layer is of aluminum nitride .

However, the missing limitations are well known in the art because Akram discloses silicon nitride and aluminum nitride are conventional diffusion barrier material (See col. 3, lines 25-35 and col. 4, lines 32-42, Fig. 5).

A person of ordinary skill is motivated to modify Geffken with Akram to obtain as many wiring layer as needed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Geffken with Akram to obtain the invention as specified in claims 48-50.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ha Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)308-2706. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM, except the first Friday of each bi-week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling, can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ha Nguyen

Primary Examiner

7-9-03